

traffic impact assessment;

# The Meadows, Bardia

For Monarch Investment Group 14 August 2018 parking; traffic; civil design; communication; **ptC.** 

## **Document Control**

The Meadows, Bardia, Traffic impact assessment

lssue	Date	Issue Details	Author	Reviewed	For the attention of
1	09/08/2018	Draft report	EY	AU	Alicia Conlon (DFP Planning)
2	14/08/2018	Final report	EY	AU	Alicia Conlon (DFP Planning)
3					

#### Contact

Abdullah Uddin

+61 2 8920 0800

+61 425 478 650

Abdullah.uddin@ptcconsultants.co

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

The information contained in this document, including any intellectual property rights arising from designs developed and documents created, is confidential and proprietary to **ptc.** 

This document may only be used by the person/organisation to whom it is addressed for the stated purpose for which it is provided and must not be imparted to or reproduced, in whole or in part, by any third person without the prior written approval of a **ptc.** authorised representative. **ptc.** reserves all legal rights and remedies in relation to any infringement of its rights in respect of its intellectual property and/or confidential information.

© 2018

**ptc.** Suite 102, 506 Miller Street Cammeray NSW 2062 info@ptcconsultants.co t + 61 2 8920 0800 ptcconsultants.co

# Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1 1.2	Project Summary Purpose of this Report	3 4
2.	The Development	5
2.1 2.2	Site Context Proposed Development	5 7
3.	Existing Transport Facilities	8
3.2 3.2.	Road HierarchyPublic Transport1TrainsActive Transport1Cycling2Walking	8 10 10 11 11 11
4.	Traffic Impact Assessment	12
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Traffic Generation Base Model Trip Distribution Intersection Modelling	12 13 14 15
5.	Parking Provision	17
5.1 5.2	Planning Policy Requirements Car Parking Requirements	17 17
6.	Access Assessment	18
6.1 6.2 6.3	Vehicular Access Emergency Vehicle Access Waste Collection	18 18 18
7.	Conclusion	19
	nment 1 Proposed Rezoning Overview nment 2 SIDRA Movement Summary	20 22
Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	<ul> <li>1: Site Location</li> <li>2: Surrounding Zoning (Source: Campbelltown LEP 2015, Sheet 11)</li> <li>3: Site Aerial View</li> <li>4: Development Proposal</li> <li>5: Road Hierarchy</li> <li>6: Campbelltown Road</li> <li>7: Ingleburn Gardens Drive</li> <li>8: 400m and 800m Walkable Catchment Map</li> <li>9: Local bicycle network (Source: Google Maps)</li> <li>10: Median Island with Pedestrian Crossing Facility</li> <li>11: Morning and Evening Peak Hour Traffic Survey Results (08/10/2015)</li> <li>12: Development Traffic Morning and Evening Peak Hour (as per 2016 report)</li> <li>13: Development Traffic Morning and Evening Peak Hour (additional 26 dwellings)</li> <li>14: Proposed Vehicular Access</li> </ul>	3 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 13 13 13

Table 1: Proposed Traffic Generation	12
Table 2: Traffic Distribution (as per surveys on 08/10/2015)	14
Table 3: Level of Service Criteria	15
Table 4: SIDRA Modelling Results for Campbelltown Road / Ingleburn Gardens Drive (pre and post-	
development)	15
Table 5: Car Parking Provision Requirements as per the <i>Edmondson Park Smart Growth DCP</i>	17

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Project Summary

**ptc.** has been engaged by Monarch Investments Pty Ltd c/o DFP Planning Pty Ltd, to prepare an assessment of the parking and traffic considerations associated with the proposed rezoning of land zoned RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential in Lots 9 and 10 of DP270983 within The Meadows, Bardia.

The proposed rezoning of the land is to accommodate the potential development of 27 residential lots. The SIDRA traffic model previously prepared by **ptc.** (previously Parking and Traffic Consultants) for The Meadows has been updated with the additional forecast traffic generation from these 27 residential lots and is presented in this report.



Figure 1: Site Location

## 1.2 Purpose of this Report

This report presents the following considerations in relation to the Traffic and Parking assessment of the Proposal:

Section 2	A description of the proposal,
Section 3	A description of the road network serving the development property,
Section 4	Determination of the traffic activity associated with the development proposal, and the adequacy of the surrounding road network,
Section 5	Assessment of the proposed parking provision in the context of the relevant planning control requirements,
Section 6	Access Assessment, and
Section 7	Summary

# 2. The Development

#### 2.1 Site Context

The site is located in the suburb of Ingleburn, which is approximately 45 km South West of the Sydney CBD and is within the Campbelltown City Council LGA. The site is located on the western side of the Hume Motorway and south of the South West Rail Link corridor between Glenfield and Leppington. The proposed rezoning comprises Lots 9 and 10 of DP270983 and lies within The Meadows, Bardia development which extends from the Ingleburn Gardens development.

Access to The Meadows is provided via Ingleburn Gardens Drive, a central spine road which runs through the Ingleburn Garden Estate into The Meadows (see Figure 1). The signalised intersection, Campbelltown Road, Ingleburn Gardens Drive is the sole connection to the rest of the Sydney road network.

The surrounding land use of the site is presented in Figure 2 and an aerial view of the site is provided in Figure 3.



Figure 2: Surrounding Zoning (Source: Campbelltown LEP 2015, Sheet 11)



Figure 3: Site Aerial View

#### 2.2 Proposed Development

The proposal is the rezoning of land currently zoned RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential in Lots 9 and 10 of DP270983 within The Meadows (see Figure 3). The total area of the proposed rezoned land is 8,100m<sup>2</sup>.

This proposed rezoning is to accommodate the potential development of 27 residential lots. Access to these lots will utilise the Webber Circuit which is currently under construction. This connects to the existing internal road network of Ingleburn Gardens Estate and The Meadows, and to the greater Sydney road network via the signalised intersection, Campbelltown Road/Ingleburn Gardens Drive. One of the lots in Lot 10 will be an access handle<sup>1</sup>, providing access to the other 4 lots in Lot 10. Therefore, the proposed increase in yield is 26 dwellings – these will be primarily two storey dwellings with a couple of single storey dwellings, in line with the existing developments within the surrounding area.

Details of the overall layout and the proposed rezoning and presented in Figure 4 and Attachment 1 in the drawings prepared by Monarch Investment Group.



Figure 4: Development Proposal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Land on which an access driveway or access corridor is situated, providing vehicular, pedestrian, or services access from the street

# 3. Existing Transport Facilities

## 3.1 Road Hierarchy

The site is located in the suburb of Ingleburn and the development is serviced by Campbelltown Road. The road network servicing the area comprises a number of State Roads, making the site easily accessible from different regions. The road network in this area also comprises local streets providing direct access to the surrounding retail, commercial and residential land uses.



Figure 5: Road Hierarchy

The NSW administrative road hierarchy comprises the following road classifications, which align with the generic road hierarchy as follows:

State Roads - Freeways and Primary Arterials (RMS Managed)

Regional Roads - Secondary or Sub Arterials (Council Managed, partly funded by the State)

Local Roads - Collector and Local Access Roads (Council Managed)

The road network servicing the site includes:

Campbelltown Road	
Road Classification	State Road
Alignment	East – West
Number of Lanes	1 lane in each direction
Carriageway Type	Undivided
Carriageway Width	12m
Speed Limit	80 km/h
School Zone	No
Parking Controls	No Parking
Forms Site Frontage	No



Figure 6: Campbelltown Road

Ingleburn Gardens Drive	
Road Classification	Local Road
Alignment	Varies
Number of Lanes	1 lane in each direction
Carriageway Type	Undivided
Carriageway Width	12m
Speed Limit	50 km/h
School Zone	No
Parking Controls	Unrestricted
Forms Site Frontage	No



Figure 7: Ingleburn Gardens Drive

#### 3.1 Public Transport

The locality has been assessed in the context of available forms of public transport that may be utilised by prospective residents and visitors. When defining accessibility, reference is made to the NSW Planning Guidelines for Walking and Cycling (2004) (the Cycling and Walking Guide), where a distance of 400-800m is recommended as a comfortable walkable catchment to access public transport and local amenities. The document also suggests a distance of 1,500m as a suitable catchment for cycling.

Figure 8 illustrates the walkable 400m and 800m catchments from the development site. As indicated by the figure, there are no public transport options within a comfortable walking distance of the site. The closest train station, Edmondson Park Station, is 1.3km away (straight-line distance) / 2.4km away (vehicular route). There is also no pedestrian infrastructure on Campbelltown Road.



Figure 8: 400m and 800m Walkable Catchment Map

#### 3.1.1 Trains

Edmondson Park Station is located approximately a 2.4km drive from the site. The provision of a commuter car park for approximately 315 vehicles, and cycle racks and lockers, makes the station accessible for commuters utilising a mix of travel modes.

The station serves the T2 Inner West & Leppington Line and T5 Cumberland Line. The T2 line operates frequent services, with trains every 3-10 minutes during Mon-Fri peak hours and up to every 15-20 minutes outside of peak hours and during weekends. The T5 line operates every 30 minutes from Mon-Sun.

#### 3.2 Active Transport

#### 3.2.1 Cycling

Cycling infrastructure is well developed near the site. Within the Estate, road widths are generous and facilitate shared cycling and vehicular traffic. There are also dedicated cycle lanes on Campbelltown Road and on the Hume Motorway/South Western Freeway, providing accessibility to Edmondson Park Train Station and the greater Edmondson Park suburb.



Figure 9: Local bicycle network (Source: Google Maps)

#### 3.2.2 Walking

There is a moderate level of pedestrian amenity within Ingleburn Gardens Estate with provision of footpaths and ramps on most streets within the Estate. The level of pedestrian facilities is in line with similar developments of a residential nature, although there are few dedicated pedestrian crossing areas.



Figure 10: Median Island with Pedestrian Crossing Facility

## 4. Traffic Impact Assessment

#### 4.1 Traffic Generation

The traffic generation of the proposed development has been established with reference to the *RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments*, which presents the traffic generation rates for a number of land uses. The Guide was last updated in October 2002 and is largely based on surveys undertaken during the nineties.

RMS is currently updating the Guide to include more recent data and revised land use traffic generation rates, however as an interim measure RMS has recently published a Technical Direction titled *TDT 2013/04a* – *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments* – *Updated Traffic Surveys*, which provides preliminary updated traffic generation rates for a number of land-uses including residential development.

The proposed residential rezoning is anticipated to accommodate low-density development and the updated traffic surveys provide the following traffic generation rates:

- Weekday average morning peak vehicle trips: 0.99 per dwelling (maximum 1.39)
- Weekday average evening peak vehicle trips: 0.95 per dwelling (maximum 1.32)

To present a robust assessment of the traffic activity associated with the proposal, the maximum rate recommended by the RMS Technical Direction is adopted. This is due to poor public transport infrastructure within a comfortable walking distance, therefore the large majority of trips will be private vehicle-based.

The proposal involves rezoning land to accommodate the potential development of 27 residential lots. **ptc.** prepared a report for the previous proposal involving land subdivision in the Ingleburn Gardens Estate to create 212 residential dwellings (*PTC Parking & Traffic Assessment - Ingleburn Gardens 02-03-16*). As part of the previous traffic assessment, another 60 undeveloped lots were incorporated into the assessment to provide a robust approach. The survey data and traffic modelling from the previous report is adopted as the base model for this report.

The traffic associated with the proposal has been calculated with reference to the maximum figures detailed above and the results are summarised in Table 1.

Land Use	Land Use Number of dwellings		M Peak	Weekday PM Peak		
		Rate (trips/dwelling)	Total Trips (veh/hour)	Rate (trips/dwelling)	Total Trips (veh/hour)	
Previously assessed dwelling houses	272	1.39	378	1.32	359	
Proposed residential lots	26 <sup>2</sup>	1.39	36	1.32	34	

Table 1: Proposed Traffic Generation

The projected peak hour generation of traffic activity associated with the proposed 26 residential dwellings is 36 vehicular trips during the AM peak and 34 vehicular trips during the PM peak. It is assumed that during the AM peak, 20% of trips will be inbound and 80% will be outbound. The opposite is adopted for the PM peak.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One of the lots will be an access handle, hence there will be 26 dwellings rather than 27

Thus, as part of this proposal, there are expected to be an additional 7 inbound / 29 outbound trips during the AM peak and 27 inbound / 7 outbound trips during the PM peak.

#### 4.2 Base Model

As discussed in the previous section, the base model for this assessment has been derived from **ptc**.'s previous assessment of the Ingleburn Gardens Estate and forecast traffic generation from the development of 272 dwelling houses. As part of this previous assessment, survey data was collected for the signalised intersection, Campbelltown Road and Ingleburn Garden Drive, on Thursday 8 October 2015. Typically, survey data is limited to two years old, however, given the low traffic generation of this proposal (36 trips during the AM peak and 34 during the PM peak – 2.4% and 2.3% of the total post-development projected traffic volumes, respectively), the use of data 3 years old is considered relevant.

The peak hour intersection survey results from the previous assessment are presented in Figure 11, and the previous assessment's post-development results are presented in Figure 12.



Figure 11: Morning and Evening Peak Hour Traffic Survey Results (08/10/2015)



Figure 12: Development Traffic Morning and Evening Peak Hour (as per 2016 report)

#### 4.3 Trip Distribution

To distribute the traffic activity associated with the proposal at the Campbelltown Road / Ingleburn Gardens Drive intersection, an identical approach to the previous report is undertaken whereby the forecast turning proportions are derived from the turning proportions observed during the peak periods in the traffic survey.

From the surveys, the following turning proportions have been observed and are summarised in Table 2:

Time Period	From	То	Number of vehicles	Proportion	
	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	Campbelltown Road (west)	28	28 / (28+53) = 35%	
AM Peak	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	Campbelltown Road (east)	53	53 / (28+53) = 65%	
AIVI Peak	Campbelltown Road (west)	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	17	17 / (17+22) = 44%	
_	Campbelltown Road (east)	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	22	22 / (17+22) = 56%	
	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	Campbelltown Road (west)	14	14 / (14+22) = 43%	
DM Daala	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	Campbelltown Road (east)	22	22 / (14+22) = 57%	
PM Peak	Campbelltown Road (west)	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	20	20 / (20+52) = 35%	
	Campbelltown Road (east)	Ingleburn Gardens Drive	52	52 / (20+52) = 65%	

Table 2: Traffic Distribution (as per surveys on 08/10/2015)

This results in the traffic distribution as illustrated in Figure 13. The base numbers include the surveyed volumes and the development traffic volumes determined in the 2016 Traffic Impact Assessment (i.e. the numbers expressed in Figure 12). The additional traffic generation as a result of the proposed 26 dwellings is indicated in the figure by the numbers in the brackets.



Figure 13: Development Traffic Morning and Evening Peak Hour (additional 26 dwellings)

#### 4.4 Intersection Modelling

In order to determine the future performance of the Campbelltown Road / Ingleburn Gardens Drive intersection, an assessment has been undertaken using the SIDRA modelling software, which presents a range of performance indicators (Level of Service, Average Delay, etc.).

Typically, there are four performance indicators used to summarise the performance of an intersection, being:

- Level of Service (LoS) This is a categorization of average delay, intended for simple reference. The RMS adopts the following bands:
- Average Delay The average delay encountered by all vehicles passing through the intersection. It is often important to review the average delay of each approach as a side road could have a long delay time, while the large free flowing major traffic will provide an overall low average delay.
- Degree of Saturation The total usage of the intersection expressed as a factor of 1 with 1 representing 100% use/saturation. (e.g. 0.8=80% saturation)
- 95% Queue lengths (Q95) is defined to be the queue length in metres that has only a 5-percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. It transforms the average delay into measurable distance units.

Level of Service	Average Delay (secs/veh)	Traffic Signals, Roundabout	Give Way & Stop Signs
А	<14	Good operation	
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays & spare capacity	Acceptable delays & spare capacity
С	29 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 to 56	Operating near capacity	Near capacity & accident study required
E	57 to 70	At capacity. At signals, incidents would cause excessive delays. Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode
F	>70	Extra capacity required	Extreme delay, major treatment required

Table 3: Level of Service Criteria

A summary of the SIDRA modelling results is presented in Table 4:

Table 4: SIDRA Modelling Results for Campbelltown Road / Ingleburn Gardens Drive (pre and post-development)

Time	Model	Level of Service	Average Delay (s)	Degree of Saturation (v/c)	95% Queue Length (veh)
	2015 Survey	А	9.5	0.762	14.0
AM Peak	2016 Report	А	13.2	0.823	15.6
	2018 Update	В	14.7	0.838	17.6
	2015 Survey	А	9.6	0.720	9.6
PM Peak	2016 Report	А	8.2	0.523	8.2
	2018 Update	А	8.3	0.523	7.0

As indicated by the traffic modelling results, the proposed increase of 27 lots/26 dwellings results in a very minor influence upon the Campbelltown Road / Ingleburn Gardens Drive intersection. In the AM peak the Level of Service (LoS) increases from an A to a B, continuing to present an acceptable level of performance. The average delay increases by 1.5 sec, the degree of saturation by 1.5%, and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length by 2 vehicles. In the PM peak, the LoS remains at a LoS A, the average delay increases by 0.1 sec, the degree of saturation remains constant and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length decreases by 1.2 vehicles. This can be attributed to the traffic volumes being more efficiently served by the signal phases and timings.

Therefore, the proposed development is anticipated to sufficiently accommodated within the existing road network without any significant influences upon the local traffic performance.

# 5. Parking Provision

#### 5.1 Planning Policy Requirements

Typically, parking requirements are established with reference to the local planning controls i.e. Development Control Plan (DCP) and Local Environmental Plan (LEP). In regard to the proposed subdivision of the site, Campbelltown City Council has developed the *Edmondson Park Smart Growth DCP*, adopted in 2007 and incorporated as Part 6, Volume 2 of *Campbelltown (Sustainable City) DCP*.

Section 2.8 Transport Development Standard D6.2 specifies that:

"Car parking shall be provided for residential dwelling developments at the following minimum rates."

Number of Bedrooms per Dwelling	Car Parking Spaces per dwelling
Bedsitter or 1 bedroom	0.75
2 bedroom	1
3 or more bedrooms	1.5
Visitor spaces	0.2

Table 5: Car Parking Provision Requirements as per the Edmondson Park Smart Growth DCP

Notes:

\* Visitor spaces are required for all multiunit dwelling developments in addition to resident spaces. These may be provided onsite, on-street, or a combination of both. On street parking shall be unallocated and available to the public.

\* Car parking calculations are to be rounded up.

## 5.2 Car Parking Requirements

The proposal is still at an early stage, seeking the rezoning of land to accommodate the potential development of 27 residential lots. As the proposal develops, a detailed parking assessment statement of the parking provision including compliance with the relevant applicable standards (i.e. AS2890 suite) should be prepared and submitted to Council during the development application (DA) stage.

## 6. Access Assessment

#### 6.1 Vehicular Access

The proposed lots will be accessed via Webber Circuit, which is currently under construction as part of The Meadows development. This Circuit links to the rest of the internal road network providing access to and throughout The Meadows and Ingleburn Gardens Estate.



Figure 14: Proposed Vehicular Access

Access to the external road network will be via Ingleburn Gardens Drive, leading to the existing signalised intersection of Ingleburn Gardens Drive and Campbelltown Road. This intersection was constructed as part of the previous development of Ingleburn Gardens Estate.

## 6.2 Emergency Vehicle Access

Emergency vehicles will be able to access the site via Ingleburn Gardens Drive. The geometry of internal roadway will be designed to accommodate vehicles up to a Heavy Rigid Vehicle (HRV 12.5m long) which is represents the design envelope that includes emergency vehicles including fire appliances. The proposed rezoning will not affect existing emergency vehicle access and the emergency vehicle access for the proposed 27 lots will be the same as for the remainder of The Meadows.

## 6.3 Waste Collection

Waste collection is proposed to be similar to the existing developments in the area, with the option of Council collection or private collection. As discussed, the roadways have been designed to accommodate vehicles up to an HRV, thus encompassing refuse collection vehicles which are typically smaller than an HRV.

# 7. Conclusion

**ptc.** has been engaged by Monarch Investments Pty. Ltd. to provide a traffic and parking assessment to accompany the proposal to Campbelltown City Council to rezone lane currently zoned RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential in The Meadows, Bardia. This is to accommodate the potential development of 27 residential lots accommodating 26 two-storey/one-storey dwellings (and one access handle).

An update of the traffic modelling, previously completed for 212 residential lots (The Meadows, Bardia) and 60 undeveloped lots, has been undertaken to incorporate the additional forecast traffic generation from the potential 26 dwellings. These 26 dwellings are anticipated to generate 7 inbound trips and 29 outbound trips in the AM peak and 27 inbound trips and 7 outbound trips in the PM peak. Based on the updated SIDRA traffic modelling and assessment of the internal road network (Ingleburn Gardens Drive), this additional traffic generation is not expected to significantly reduce the existing amenity of the Campbelltown Road/Ingleburn Gardens Drive signalised intersection, with the intersection operating at a LoS B in the AM peak and LoS A in the PM peak.

The access arrangements i.e. proposed internal road arrangement and connection to the external road network indicates that the site will be safely accessible by all users including emergency vehicles and refuse collection vehicles.

In light of the above, the proposed development is endorsed in the context of parking and traffic.

Attachment 1 Proposed Rezoning Overview



Attachment 2 SIDRA Movement Summary

# Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - AM - SURVEY]

Survey Data from 8 Oct 2015 Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 40 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	TUITI	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	East: Ir	gelburns G	arden	Dr (S)								
21	L2	29	0.0	0.029	5.9	LOS A	0.1	0.8	0.37	0.58	0.37	53.3
23	R2	56	0.0	0.203	21.9	LOS B	1.0	7.2	0.91	0.73	0.91	43.1
Approa	ach	85	0.0	0.203	16.4	LOS B	1.0	7.2	0.72	0.68	0.72	46.2
NorthE	ast: C	ampbelltowi	n Rd (	NE)								
24	L2	23	0.0	0.019	8.3	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.31	0.65	0.31	54.1
25	T1	207	0.0	0.196	5.0	LOS A	2.1	14.5	0.53	0.44	0.53	72.2
Approa	ach	231	0.0	0.196	5.3	LOS A	2.1	14.5	0.51	0.46	0.51	69.8
South\	Nest: C	Campbelltow	vn Rd	(SW)								
31	T1	804	0.0	0.762	9.9	LOS A	14.0	98.2	0.83	0.80	0.94	65.7
32	R2	18	0.0	0.027	12.3	LOS A	0.2	1.3	0.52	0.68	0.52	50.2
Approa	ach	822	0.0	0.762	10.0	LOS A	14.0	98.2	0.83	0.80	0.93	65.3
All Veh	nicles	1138	0.0	0.762	9.5	LOS A	14.0	98.2	0.75	0.72	0.83	64.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov			Average	Level of	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.68	0.68				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		12.7	LOS B			0.79	0.79				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:41 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

# Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - AM - BASE (2016 model)]

Base Model (survey plus previously assessed 272 dwellings) Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 40 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Move	ment l	Performan	ce - V	/ehicle	S							
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	East: Ir	igelburns Ga	arden	Dr (S)								
21	L2	141	0.0	0.136	6.0	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.40	0.62	0.40	53.2
23	R2	263	0.0	0.823	27.1	LOS B	6.1	42.6	1.00	1.04	1.47	40.5
Approa	ach	404	0.0	0.823	19.8	LOS B	6.1	42.6	0.79	0.89	1.10	44.2
NorthE	East: C	ampbelltowr	n Rd (	NE)								
24	L2	68	0.0	0.058	8.4	LOS A	0.2	1.6	0.33	0.66	0.33	54.1
25	T1	207	0.0	0.206	5.5	LOS A	2.2	15.4	0.56	0.47	0.56	71.3
Approa	ach	276	0.0	0.206	6.2	LOS A	2.2	15.4	0.50	0.51	0.50	66.1
South\	West: C	Campbelltow	/n Rd	(SW)								
31	T1	804	0.0	0.798	12.3	LOS A	15.6	109.3	0.88	0.88	1.05	63.1
32	R2	53	0.0	0.082	13.1	LOS A	0.6	4.0	0.57	0.71	0.57	49.7
Approa	ach	857	0.0	0.798	12.3	LOS A	15.6	109.3	0.86	0.87	1.02	62.0
All Vel	nicles	1537	0.0	0.823	13.2	LOS A	15.6	109.3	0.78	0.81	0.95	56.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov			Average Level of		Average Back c	f Queue	Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	9.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.70	0.70				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		12.9	LOS B			0.80	0.80				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:41 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

#### Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - AM - DEVELOPMENT (2018 model)]

Development Model (additional 26 dwellings) Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 40 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Movement Performance - Vehicles Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Delay Service Vehicles Distance Demand Flows Effective Aver. No. Average Mov Deg. Prop. ID Total Distance Queued Stop Rate Cycles Speed veh/h km/h SouthEast: Ingelburns Garden Dr (S) 21 L2 151 0.0 0.143 6.1 LOS A 0.7 4.7 0.41 0.62 0.41 53.2 23 R2 284 0.0 0.777 LOS B 6.2 43.6 1.00 0.97 1.32 41.6 24.9 Approach 435 0.0 0.777 18.4 LOS B 6.2 43.6 0.79 0.85 1.00 45.0 NorthEast: Campbelltown Rd (NE) 24 L2 73 0.0 0.061 8.4 LOS A 0.2 1.6 0.33 0.67 0.33 54.1 25 T1 207 0.0 0.216 6.2 LOS A 2.3 16.2 0.59 0.49 0.59 70.5 280 2.3 Approach 0.0 0.216 6.7 LOS A 16.2 0.52 0.53 0.52 65.3 SouthWest: Campbelltown Rd (SW) 31 Τ1 804 0.0 0.838 15.5 LOS B 17.6 123.0 0.92 0.96 1.19 59.7 32 R2 0.0 0.091 LOS A 0.60 0.72 0.60 49.2 56 13.7 0.6 4.5 860 0.0 0.838 15.4 LOS B 17.6 123.0 0.90 0.94 1.15 58.9 Approach All Vehicles 1575 0.0 0.838 14.7 LOS B 17.6 123.0 0.81 0.85 1.00 55.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	10.5	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.73	0.73				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		13.2	LOS B			0.81	0.81				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:42 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

# Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - PM - SURVEY]

Survey Data from 8 Oct 2015 Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 30 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Move	ment l	Performan	ce - V	ehicle/	s							
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	TUITI	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	East: Ir	ngelburns G	arden	Dr (S)								
21	L2	26	0.0	0.037	8.5	LOS A	0.2	1.3	0.66	0.64	0.66	51.4
23	R2	35	0.0	0.095	15.9	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.84	0.70	0.84	46.4
Approa	ach	61	0.0	0.095	12.7	LOS A	0.4	3.1	0.77	0.67	0.77	48.4
NorthE	ast: C	ampbelltowr	n Rd (	NE)								
24	L2	55	0.0	0.047	8.7	LOS A	0.2	1.2	0.43	0.67	0.43	53.7
25	T1	553	0.0	0.720	10.1	LOS A	7.9	55.3	0.90	0.84	1.05	65.5
Approa	ach	607	0.0	0.720	10.0	LOS A	7.9	55.3	0.86	0.82	0.99	64.2
South\	Nest: 0	Campbelltow	/n Rd	(SW)								
31	T1	468	0.0	0.610	8.3	LOS A	5.8	40.9	0.84	0.74	0.87	67.7
32	R2	21	0.0	0.069	18.9	LOS B	0.3	2.0	0.87	0.69	0.87	46.0
Approa	ach	489	0.0	0.610	8.8	LOS A	5.8	40.9	0.85	0.73	0.87	66.3
All Veh	nicles	1158	0.0	0.720	9.6	LOS A	7.9	55.3	0.85	0.78	0.93	64.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov			Average	Level of	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	9.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.80	0.80				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	9.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.80	0.80				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	9.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.80	0.80				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		9.6	LOS A			0.80	0.80				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:42 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

# Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - PM - BASE (2016 model)]

Base Model (survey plus previously assessed 272 dwellings) Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 40 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Move	ment F	Performan	ce - V	/ehicle	s							
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	East: In	gelburns Ga	arden	Dr (S)								
21	L2	59	0.0	0.078	7.5	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.52	0.63	0.52	52.2
23	R2	77	0.0	0.280	22.2	LOS B	1.4	10.1	0.92	0.74	0.92	42.9
Approa	ach	136	0.0	0.280	15.8	LOS B	1.4	10.1	0.75	0.70	0.75	46.5
NorthE	East: Ca	ampbelltowr	n Rd (	NE)								
24	L2	272	0.0	0.240	9.0	LOS A	1.3	9.1	0.44	0.71	0.44	53.7
25	T1	553	0.0	0.523	6.3	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.68	0.59	0.68	70.3
Approa	ach	824	0.0	0.523	7.2	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.60	0.63	0.60	63.8
South\	Nest: C	Campbelltow	/n Rd	(SW)								
31	T1	468	0.0	0.444	5.9	LOS A	5.6	39.0	0.64	0.55	0.64	70.8
32	R2	105	0.0	0.254	16.6	LOS B	1.5	10.6	0.73	0.76	0.73	47.4
Approa	ach	574	0.0	0.444	7.9	LOS A	5.6	39.0	0.65	0.59	0.65	65.0
All Vel	nicles	1534	0.0	0.523	8.2	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.63	0.62	0.63	62.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.68	0.68				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		12.7	LOS B			0.79	0.79				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:43 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

# Site: 1 [Ingelburn Rd | Campbelltown Rd - PM - DEVELOPMENT (2018 model)]

Development Model (additional 26 dwellings) Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 40 seconds (Site Practical Cycle Time)

Move	ment F	Performan	ce - V	/ehicle	s							
Mov	<b>T</b>	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	East: In	gelburns G	arden	Dr (S)								
21	L2	62	0.0	0.082	7.5	LOS A	0.4	3.0	0.52	0.64	0.52	52.2
23	R2	82	0.0	0.299	22.2	LOS B	1.5	10.8	0.93	0.75	0.93	42.9
Approa	ach	144	0.0	0.299	15.9	LOS B	1.5	10.8	0.75	0.70	0.75	46.5
NorthE	NorthEast: Campbelltown Rd (NE)											
24	L2	294	0.0	0.262	9.0	LOS A	1.4	10.0	0.45	0.71	0.45	53.6
25	T1	553	0.0	0.523	6.3	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.68	0.59	0.68	70.3
Approa	ach	846	0.0	0.523	7.2	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.60	0.63	0.60	63.4
South\	Nest: C	Campbelltow	vn Rd	(SW)								
31	T1	468	0.0	0.444	5.9	LOS A	5.6	39.0	0.64	0.55	0.64	70.8
32	R2	113	0.0	0.272	16.7	LOS B	1.6	11.4	0.73	0.76	0.73	47.3
Approa	ach	581	0.0	0.444	8.0	LOS A	5.6	39.0	0.65	0.59	0.65	64.6
All Veh	nicles	1572	0.0	0.523	8.3	LOS A	7.0	48.9	0.63	0.63	0.63	61.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians											
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective				
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate				
		ped/h	sec		ped	m						
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.68	0.68				
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	14.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85				
All Pe	All Pedestrians		12.7	LOS B			0.79	0.79				

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Monday, August 6, 2018 11:02:43 AM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\Monarch Investments- Ingleburn Gardens Estate\Analysis\180806 - ptc. - Ingleburn Rd Campbelltown Rd.sip8

# Setbacks to the Hume Highway

























28 April 2020

TfNSW Reference: SYD20/00252/01 Council Reference: 634/020/E-PP

The General Manager Campbelltown City Council PO Box 57 CAMPBELLTOWN NSW 2560

Attention: Alex Saprun

#### PLANNING PROPOSAL FOR TWO RESIDUAL LOTS – THE MEADOWS, BARDIA

Dear Sir/Madam

Reference is made to Council's correspondence dated 2 April 2020, regarding the abovementioned application which was referred to Transport for NSW (TfNSW) for comment.

TfNSW has reviewed the submitted application and raises no objection to the application. TfNSW requests that the following conditions are incorporated into any consent issued by Council:

- 1. The subject property abuts a Declared Freeway (Hume Motorway) as shown by blue colour on attached Aerial 'X' & 'Y'. Access is denied across this boundary.
- 2. Any new buildings or structures (including proposed sound wall), together with any improvements integral to the future use of the site are to be wholly within the freehold property (unlimited height or depth), along the Hume Motorway Boundary.
- 3. Any Detailed design plans and hydraulic calculations of any changes to the TfNSW's stormwater drainage system are to be submitted to TfNSW for approval, prior to the commencement of any works. Documents should be submitted to Development.Sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au

A plan checking fee will be payable and a performance bond may be required before TfNSW approval is issued.

If you have any further questions, Sandra Grimes, Development Assessment Officer, would be pleased to take your call on (02) 9563 8651 or please email development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au. I hope this has been of assistance.

Yours sincerely

Pahee Rathan Senior Land Use Assessment Coordinator



